m20.o02

Topological comparison between high-pressure borates and ambient-pressure silicates

Hubert Huppertz, Johanna S. Knyrim

Department Chemie und Biochemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377 München, Germany, email: huh@cup.uni-muenchen.de

Keywords: high-pressure chemistry, borates, beryllosilicates

In the context of our investigations into high-pressure / hightemperature synthesis of new rare-earth oxoborates, we were able to discover several new high-pressure polymorphs of known compositions and additionally new compositions, whose successful syntheses were indispensably based on the "pressure" parameter. For example, all attempts to produce rare-earth borates with the ratio RE_2O_3 : $B_2O_3 = 2: 3, 1: 2, \text{ and } 3: 5 \text{ under}$ normal-pressure conditions were in vain. In contrast, the corresponding multianvil high-pressure experiments [1] led in most cases to phase pure rare-earth borates $RE_4B_6O_{15}$ (RE = Dy, Ho) [2], $\hat{\beta}$ - $RE_2\hat{B}_4O_9$ (RE = Sm-Ho) [3], β - $RE_2\hat{B}_4O_9$ (RE = Dy, Gd) [4], and $RE_3B_5O_{12}$ (RE = Tm-Lu) [5].

Due to the pressure-coordination rule, extreme synthetic conditions of 10 GPa favour structures, in which boron is exclusively coordinated to four oxygen atoms. Therewith, structural similarities with silicate chemistry can be assumed. In fact, we found several examples of beryllosilicates, in which the topology of the tetrahedral (Be,SiO₄)-network corresponds to the arrangement of BO₄-tetrahedra in our new high-pressure borates. E.g., the crystal structures of the former mentioned high-pressure borates $RE_3B_5O_{12}$ (RE = Tm-Lu) [5] are homeotype to the beryllosilicate mineral semenovite ((Fe²⁺, Mn, Zn, Ti) $RE_2Na_{0-2}(Ca, Na)_8(Si, Be)_{20}(O, OH, F)_{48})$ [6]. Recently, Krivovichev et al. reported about the crystal structure of clinobarylite BaBe₂Si₂O₇ [7], which is isotypic to SrB₄O₇ and the high-pressure polymorphs β -CaB₄O₇ and β -HgB₄O₇. Additionally, we discovered a new ternary borate in the system Hf-B-O with the composition HfB_2O_5 ($P2_1/c$, a = 438.48(9), b= 690.60(2), c = 897.60(2) pm, $\beta = 90.76^{\circ}$, wR2 = 0.0502 (all data), $1186 F^2$ values, 74 variable parameters). This compound represents the first crystalline hafniumborate exhibiting a structure, which is homeotype to minerals crystallizing in the gadolinite-type structure (e.g. NiYb₂Be₂Si₂O₁₀) [8].

The talk will introduce the topological similarities and differences between high-pressure borates and ambient-pressure silicates.

m20.o03

Hydrogen bonds controlling the structure type

H. Effenberger

Institut für Mineralogie und Kristallographie, Universität Wien, Althanstrasse 14, A-1090 Wien, Austria

Keywords: hydrogen bonds, inorganic crystal chemistry, superstructures

The roles of hydrogen atoms controlling variants of crystal structures have been overseen often. Two examples exhibiting complex order phenomena are presented.

About 30 natural and synthetic compounds belong to the

'tsumcorite group'. The general formula is
$$M1^{1+,2+,3+}M2^{2+,3+}_{2}(H_{2}O,OH)_{2}(X^{5+,6+}(O_{4},O_{3}OH))_{2};$$
 $M1 = Na^{+}_{,K}K^{+}_{,R}b^{+}_{,A}g^{+}_{,N}(NH_{4})^{+}_{,C}a^{2+}_{,S}r^{2+}_{,P}b^{2+}_{,B}i^{3+}_{,T}T^{1+};$ $M2 = Al^{3+}_{,M}M^{3+}_{,F}E^{3+}_{,C}C^{2+}_{,N}i^{2+}_{,C}C^{2+}_{,Z}n^{2+};$ $X = P^{5+}_{,A}S^{5+}_{,S}V^{5+}_{,S}S^{6+}_{,M}N^{6+}_{,C}$

For each of the cation sites M1, M2 and X at least two different valences are possible; the coupled exchange at these cation positions and adjusting the ratio OH:H₂O ensures electroneutrality. Most members are monoclinic forming H₃O₂ groups, i.e., a strong symmetry-restricted hydrogen bond between two OH groups linked by a centre of inversion ('tsumcorite type'; C centred cell with Z = 2). Two structure variants with lower (triclinic) symmetry are caused by distinct crystal-chemical requirements: In the 'helmutwinklerite type' (P centred with Z = 4) two H₂O molecules per formula unit require an avoidance of the symmetry-restricted hydrogen bond. Secondly, divalent copper besides trivalent iron ions require a mechanism for individual environments at their M2 sites; the inversion centres are maintained ('gartrellite type'; P centred with Z = 1). Hydrothermal syntheses produced the new compound SrCo₂(AsO₄)(OH)(AsO₃OH)_H₂O which represents the first proof of (partial) protonated arsenate groups in this series of compounds: 'Sr-Co type' (P centred monoclinic with Z = 4). The As-atom site splits into two crystallographically independent sites going along with the formation of $(AsO_4)^{3-}$ besides $(AsO_3OH)^{2-}$ groups. The symmetry restriction of the hydrogen bond is given up: the former equivalent oxygen atoms split into one representing a H₂O molecule whereas the other one belongs to a hydroxyl group.

A further complex variation of a parental structure is verified in the triclinic MHXO4 compounds (CaHPO4 - monetite, CaHAsO₄ - weilite, α-NaHSO₄, α-SrHPO₄, HgHPO₄, and $SrHAsO_4$). The location of the M atoms and XO_4 tetrahedra is roughly the same. There are three topologically distinct hydrogen bonds: (i) One hydrogen atom is located close to an inversion centre forming a symmetry-restricted hydrogen bond. (ii) A further short hydrogen bond has the donor and acceptor atoms not related by (average) space-group symmetry. However, the direction of the hydrogen bond (OH dipole) may be inverted and the donor and acceptor function of the oxygen atoms belonging to this hydrogen bond is interchanged. (iii) In total four O atoms are involved into the formation of the third hydrogen bond; their interactions with respect to the H atom differ. The hydrogen bond is either in or close to an edge in the MO_8 coordination polyhedron; it may be bifurcated. In Sr(AsO₃OH) a super-structure avoids symmetry centres in the vicinity of all the H atoms; all H bonds have distinct donor and acceptor atoms. Complete order of the H atoms in SrHAsO₄ and the low-temperature modification of monetite cause monoprotonated anion groups. In the other cases $[XO_2(OH)_2]$ groups are necessarily formed besides $[XO_3(OH)]$ and $[XO_4]$ groups.

^[1] H. Huppertz, Z. Kristallogr. 219 (2004) 330.

^[2] H. Huppertz, B. von der Eltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 9376.

^[3] H. Emme, H. Huppertz, Chem. Eur. J. 9 (2003) 3623.

^[4] H. Huppertz, S. Altmannshofer, G. Heymann, J. Solid State Chem. 170 (2003) 320.

^[5] H. Emme, M. Valldor, R. Pöttgen, H. Huppertz, Chem. Mater. 17 (2005) 2707.

^[6] O.V. Petersen, J. G. Rönsbo, Lithos 5 (1972) 163.

^[7] S. V. Krivovichev, V. N. Yakovenchuk, T. Armbruster, Y. Mikhailova, Y. A. Pakhomovsky, N. Jb. Miner. Mh. (2004) 373.

^[8] F. F. Foit jr., G. V. Gibbs, Z. Kristallographie, Kristallgeometrie, Kristallphysik, Kristallchemie 141 (1975) 375.